# Multi-Fidelity Testing and Evaluation of Al-Enabled Systems

September 17, 2024

#### Robert J. Seif, Zichong Yang, Ziran Wang, Laura Freeman, Jitesh H. Panchal



Design Engineering Laboratory @ Purdue http://engineering.purdue.edu/DELP



### Motivation: Levels of Access of Information





### Driving Questions Pertaining to T&E

- Efficiency of T&E: How to reduce the cost and time for T&E using evidence generated throughout the systems engineering process?
- Access: To what extent does the government need access to training data, algorithms, hardware, and software?
  - Reduced the need for testing (and increase in confidence assurance) resulting from an increase in data/model rights.
- Cost of IP: How much to pay for information (data, model parameters, etc.)?



### Autonomous Driving Use Case

- Al model: detection system on an autonomous vehicle
- Input: Video file from camera
- Output: Object location in each frame



[6] https://www.google.com/url?sa=i&url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.alten.com%2Fnext-generation-camera-based-adas-development



## Autonomous Driving Use Case

- System tested: YOLOv8 (object detection)
- **Setting:** Autonomous Vehicle driving through a roundabout
- **Simulation:** Unity simulation engine and occlusion post-processing



R	Requirements
$r_1$	System must operate on a 1080p HD video format
$r_2$	System must operate in direct overhead sunlight
$r_3$	System must operate in raining weather condition
$r_4$	System must operate under 25% random occlusion of vision field
$r_5$	System must operate with a 30 fps operational speed
$r_6$	System must have average confidence over 80% in vehicle prediction
r <sub>i</sub>	



### Models at Multiple Fidelities



Need: a method to design test sequences to most efficiently reach a degree of certainty about how well the system satisfies each requirement in the designated operational environment.







Higher Fidelity with Increased Resolutoin

**Operational Condition** 



### **Proposed Approach**

#### Acquisition (User) Side

- Define R & O
- Receive test results

#### **Testing and Evaluation Side**

- Model Space Definition
  - Taxonomy Breakdown
- Sequential Test Selection
  - Bayesian Optimization + Entropy
- Updating Belief
  - Bayes' Rule





### **Constructing the Model Space**

- *Model(m<sub>i</sub>):* instance of simulation
- Fidelity: accuracy in representing reality
- **Cost:**  $c = c_p \times c_l \times c_o$







### **Constructing the Model Space**

- *Model(m<sub>i</sub>):* instance of simulation
- Fidelity: accuracy in representing reality





Constraints

600

## Sequential Model Selection

- **Random variables:**  $r_{i,i}$ ,  $r_{i,o}$  (probability of • requirement  $r_i$  being satisfied)
- Objectives of the T&E process:
  - minimize uncertainty about whether the system satisfies the requirements in the operational environment
  - Minimize the cost of T&E \_
- Model selection: Acquisition Function
- Belief update: Bayes' Rule •

 $(X)_{H} = 0.5$ 

0

 $0.5 \\ Pr(X = 1)$ 



### **Experiment Results**

- Stop condition: entropy H < 0.01.
- Entropy and accumulated costs are recorded.
- Our approach: 41% reduced cost, broader exploration of model space





### Conclusions

- A method for designing a multi-fidelity test plan to verify whether the given Alenabled system can satisfy the given list of requirements and corresponding failure modes.
- The proposed method can *find the testing sequence with maximum utility* by experimenting with testing the perception system of an autonomous vehicle.





### Future Work

- Refine the use case to include evolving AI models
- Automation in test generation and model selection.
- Using high and low-fidelity models in parallel.
- Adaptive testing with evolving systems.



Video captured from Carla simulation engine



# Thank you

**Acknowledgment:** This material is based upon work supported, in whole or in part, by the U.S. Department of Defense, Director, Operational Test and Evaluation (DOT&E) through the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (ASD(R&E)) under Contract HQ003419D0003. Any views, opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United States Department of Defense (specifically DOT&E and ASD(R&E)).

