

Automated Generation of Expert Systems Thinking Patterns using a Convolutional Neural Network

Ross Arnold Senior Research Engineer – CCDC-AC PhD Candidate – Stevens SSE 15 Oct 2020



Overview

1870

- Systems
- Systems Thinking Definition
- Systems Thinking Skills
- Assessment of Complex Skill Sets
- The Systems Thinking Game
- Systems Thinking Patterns
- Automated Assessment Scoring
- Limitations of State of the Art
- Use of Neural Network to Improve Scalability
- Neural Network to Generate Systems Thinking Patterns

Systems

What are "systems?"

• Systems surround and encompass all aspects of life, from material to immaterial:



The Intersection of Systems

Results in emergent complexity

- The complexity of the human world, with all its systems, is increasing exponentially
- Nearly everyone is affected
- How do we cope with this complexity?











Systems Thinking

A tool to handle complexity

- A way to understand and predict complex systemic behavior
- A system of skills for thinking about systems
- Not intuitive (for most people)

Systems thinking is a set of synergistic analytic skills used to improve the capability of identifying and understanding systems, predicting their behaviors, and devising modifications to them in order to produce desired effects.

These skills work together as a system.



Two Facets of Systems Thinking



Gaining Insight

Improving systemic insight into a particular system



Using Insight

Applying systemic insight to a particular system

Systems thinking involve the ability to gain insight into a system, and use that insight to change the system

Systems Thinking Skill Domains





Content

What is the system, what's inside it, and what's outside it?

Behavior

How do the organization, elements, their properties, and other factors interact to produce behavior? What can we do to change that behavior?





Assessment Methods

- How to assess performance in a complex skill set?
- Ongoing research problem

Method	Each Skill Tested	Multiple Levels	Automatic Evaluation	Holistic Evaluation	Real World Context	Pattern Matching
Specially designed computer simulation	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Poker game		\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark
Existing computer simulation/strategy game		\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark
Written test		\checkmark				
Concept maps		\checkmark				\checkmark
Systems Thinking Scale		\checkmark				
Committee assessment rating	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Performing systems engineering		\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark



The Systems Thinking Game

- A novel method to evoke Systems Thinking skills
- Based on real-life systemic problems
- Contains classic embedded systems archetypes
- Built-in questions
 and decisions
- Built-in data collection



Assessment Rubric

Assessing and scoring the task





Automated Assessment

A novel method for Systems Thinking assessment

- Scoring is automated
 - Question Answers
 - Decision Points
 - Player Patterns
- Assessment Areas
 - Mindset (1/5)
 - Content (1/3)
 - Structure (4/4)
 - Behavior (1/4)

Assessment Pa	nel							- 0	×
File									
ID: 807290 Start: 2020-02-03: 14:04:27 -			-05	05 Overall STM:			1.1 Explore Multiple Perspectives: 0		
Name:	ne: End: 2020-02-03: 16:54:31 -		-05	5 Mindset:			1.2 Consider Wholes and Parts: 0		
Email: Total Time: 2:50:03			Structure:			1.3 Effectively Resp to Unc and Amb: 0			
Tutorial: Y, N, Y, Y, Y Total Time Exp: 0:20:23			Content:			1.4 Consider Issues Appropriately: 0			
Played Times: 0 Total Time Obs: 0:03:36				Behavior:			1.5 Use Mental Modeling and Abstraction: 0		
Four Shapes R1: , 0:28:09 Num Experiments: 26, Re-Ru			luns: 3				2.1 Recognize Systems: 0		
Four Shapes R2: , 0:37:39 Total Time Self-Assess: 58:21			21:46	1			2.2 Maintain Boundaries: 0		
Chaos R1: , 0:13:02								2.3 Differentiate and Quantify Elements: 0	
Chaos R2: , 0:12:26								3.1 Identify Relationships: 0	
								3.2 Characterize Relationships: 0	
								3.3 Identify Feedback Loops: 0	
								3.4 Characterize Feedback Loops: 0	
								4.1 Describe Past System Behavior: 0	
								4.2 Predict Future System Behavior: 0	
								4.3 Respond to Changes over Time: 0	
								4.4 Use Leverage Points: 0	
Time	Time Question							Answer	
00:01:28 Please select your gender.			0: Fema	lle				Ô	
00:01:38	Please select all ethnicities that apply.			3: White	е				~
<		1	1			1			>
A	ction	Time		Screen		Ex/Tsk/Trn		Desc	
Button 00:00:46 WelcomeWindow		w		0/0/0	OK		ĥ		
Button 00:01:11 ContactConsent		tWindow	1	0/0/0	OK		_		
Button 00:01:23 StartSurveyWind		dow		0/0/0	OK		~		
<		00.04.00				0.10.10		•	>



Limitations of Current Method

Method limited by necessity of human participants

- Scalability
 - Reached out to hundreds of participants
 - Only 20-30 completed the simulation
- Complexity of simulation
 - Takes too long; 1/3 of participants did not complete
 - Some did not "try their best"
- Generating new simulation physics
 - Replays cannot be compared between players because the system and tasks are the same
 - Game learning affects score



Neural Network to Generate Expert Patterns

Intersection of AI and SE

- Imagine if the simulation physics varied/generated anew for each playthrough
 - Every replay requires a Systems Approach
 - Learning about the physics of the game would not help with replays
- Problem: How to generate expert patterns to compare results to?
- Solution 1: Consider using a neutral network to learn and play the simulation based on data generated from experts
- Solution 2: Use a genetic algorithm to find optimal ways to complete the game.
 - A human would need to review these to make sure they are things a person would reasonably do







Convolutional Neural Network

- CNNs often used for machine learning based on image and/or text
 - Patterns in data
- Translate the decision path of the game to text
- Use the text as training data for the CNN
- Other Neural Network methods may work as well

Suggestions?





Steps for Implementing CNN Approach

- Translate existing expert Systems Thinking simulation patterns from human
 players to text format
- Train CNN using this data
 - Issue data volume is limited
- Present CNN with new, different variations of the simulation
 - Constants such as simulation physics could be altered, but general gameplay kept the same
- Automatically generate optimal solutions to the simulation tasks
- Validate the solutions through human investigation / rating

Through this method, a CNN could be used as a way to generate expert thinker patterns to which human player patterns could be compared and assessed.





Benefits of CNN Approach

- Highly scalable
 - Significantly reduce the number of human participants required
- Generate new simulation physics randomly
- Infinite different simulation tasks under a similar user interface
- New tests could investigate Systems Thinking ability independently from user interface or "game knowledge"
- Addresses many limitations of current approach





Issues with CNN Approach

Risks and roadblocks

1. Data volume

- Currently we don't have a large number of responses to use as training data
- 2. NN selection
 - Which type machine learning strategy might be best?
- 3. Initially, results require human review
 - Subjective; will CNN-generated patterns actually reflect the use of good Systems Thinking skills?

May be a future activity due to data volume limitations; need to accumulate more data



??



School of Systems & Enterprises

stevens.edu

Ross Arnold CCDC-AC FCDD-ACW-FM ross.d.arnold4.civ@mail.mil 973-255-0315