

Research Task / Overview

Why COSYSMO 3.0?

- The practice of systems engineering is changing
 - Current and future trends create challenges for full-system cost estimation
 - Current development practices can minimize the cost of one phase, such as development, while raising full-system cost
- The intent of COSYSMO 3.0 is to help mitigate this situation by supporting accurate estimates of systems engineering costs. This encourages allowing time for thoughtful systems engineering, supporting:
 - Choosing new technologies that reduce total system cost
 - Systems that support life-cycle flexibility

1

Goals & Objectives

Research Hypothesis

- It is possible to develop a systems engineering cost estimating model (“COSYSMO 3.0”) with these properties:
 - Is applicable to a wide range of systems engineering projects;
 - Includes all the major features of COSYSMO 1.0 and its extension models, except for interoperability;
 - Provides continuity to users of previous COSYSMO-family models;
 - When calibrated to data from a particular organization, estimates actual systems engineering costs with a PRED(.30) accuracy of 50%.

2

Data & Analysis

COSYSMO 3.0 Top-Level Model

$$PH = A \cdot (AdjSize)^E \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{15} EM_j$$


Elements of the COSYSMO 3.0 model:

- PH = Estimated effort
- Calibration parameter A
- Adjusted Size model
 - eReq submodel, where 4 products contribute to size
 - Reuse submodel
- Exponent (E) model
 - Accounts for diseconomy of scale
 - Constant and 3 scale factors
- Effort multipliers EM
 - 13 cost drivers

3


Methodology

USC CSSE Model Creation Methodology



4

Cost Drivers Vary in their Impact on the Estimate




5

Methodology Details

- Create “Expert-Based Model”
 - Parameters determined from Delphi sessions
- Obtain a dataset
 - 44 completed projects, with (partial) ratings
- Fit COSYSMO 3.0 parameters
 - Most via Bayesian fit, using Expert-Based Model as prior
 - A few directly from Expert-Based Model
- Demonstrate validity by calibrating model to yield PRED(.30) >= 50%

6

The Model Can Be Calibrated to a Dataset with PRED(.30) >= 50%



7

Calibration Took Some Imagination

- A simple-minded fit resulted in either:
 - Some non-credible parameter values; or
 - PRED(.30) < 50%.
- I was able to calibrate by:
 - Dropping a few outliers; and
 - Using a hill-climbing algorithm to find suitable parameter values.

Future Research

Future Research

- Future research topic:
 - Create a validated model for interoperability
 - Create tailored models for different types of project
 - Estimating model for total development cost, based primarily on COSYSMO 3.0 drivers
 - Some work already done at Lockheed-Martin
 - Better integrate activity levels between DWR and DFR

Contacts/References

Contact Information

- Jim Alstad
 - jalstad@usc.edu
 - 310/344-0894 (cell)
- Dissertation:
 - Alstad, JP (2018). COSYSMO 3.0: An Extended, Unified Cost Estimating Model for Systems Engineering. Los Angeles CA: USC.