

SYSTEMS ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER

RT-139: Software Reliability Modeling

Vidhyashree Nagaraju¹, Karthik Katipally¹, Richard Muri¹, Thierry Wandji², and Lance Fiondella¹

¹University of Massachusetts, North Dartmouth, MA 02747 ²Naval Air Systems Command, Patuxent River, MD 20670



Research Task / Overview

Department of Defense (DoD) increasingly depends on software intensive systems

- Mission and life critical \bullet
- Must preserve high reliability and availability \bullet
- Urgency to deploy new technologies and military capabilities may result in
 - Inadequate reliability testing \bullet
 - Severe economic damage and loss of life \bullet
- Recent National Academies report on Enhancing Defense System Reliability \bullet recommends
- Use of reliability growth models to direct contractor design and test activities \bullet Tools such as CASRE (Computer-Aided Software Reliability Estimation Tool) Caution: Users strongly advised to study underlying mathematics

Goals & Objectives

Software Failure and Reliability Assessment Tool (SFRAT)

- An open source application
- Designed for practitioner and research community
- Programmed in R and provides functionality through a Shiny graphical user interface
- Reduces the need for knowledge of the underlying statistical techniques
 - Can help contractors quantitatively assess software as part of their data collection and reporting process

Allows users to answer following questions about a software system during test

- 1. Is the software ready to release (has it achieved a specified reliability goal)?
- 2. How much more time and test effort will be required to achieve a specified goal?

Contribution: Development of open source tool to address these issues

Data & Analysis

SFRAT - Tab view Software Reliability Assessment in R Select, Analyze, and Filter Data Query Model Results Set Up and Apply Models Select, Analyze, and Subset Failure Data Specify the input file format Open, analyze, and subset file Excel (.xlsx) OCSV (.csv) Select a failure data file Choose File No file chosen Apply models, plot results Please upload an excel file Choose a view of the failure dat Detailed model gueries Cumulative Failures oraw the plot with data points only, lines only, or both Evaluate model performance Both O Points O Lines elect, Analyze, and Subset Failure Data Plot Data or Trend Test umulative Failures vs. Cumulative Test Time pecify the input file format Data Trend tes Excel (.xlsx) OCSV (.c Does data show reliability growth? elect a failure data file Choose File model_data.x Laplace Test noose Sheet noose a view of the failure 📩 Save Display umulative Failures Times Between Failures Cumulative Failures Subset the failure data by category or data range Failure Intensity lot Data or Trend Test? Select one or more failure categories to reta Data Trend tes oes data show reliability grow Laplace Test Specify the data range to which models will be applied After data upload JPEG OPDF PNG TIFF 🛓 Save Display ubset the failure data by data ran ecify the data range to which models will be

3. What will be the consequences to system's operational reliability if not enough testing resources are available?

Methodology

Software reliability growth models included:

- 1. Failure rate models
 - Jelinski-Moranda
 - Geometric •
- 2. Failure count models
 - Goel-Okumoto
 - Weibull
 - Delayed S-shaped

Data Formats:

- Inter-failure times time between $(i-1)^{st}$ and i^{th} failure, defined as $t_i = 1$ $(T_i - T_{i-1})$
- Failure times vector of failure times, $\mathbf{T} = \langle t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \rangle$
- Failure count data length of the interval and number failures observed within it, • $< \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{K} > = <(t_1, k_1), (t_2, k_2), \dots, (t_n, k_n) >$

SFRAT Output/Deliverables

Input file format: Excel or CSV FN 30 33 113 146 227 5 81 115 342 6

Tab1: Laplace Trend Test and Running arithmetic average



Tab 2: options and Cumulative failure data view



Tab 3: options and Predictions

Make Detailed Predictions From Model Results	Model	Time to achieve R = 0.9 for mission of length 4116	Expected # of failures for next 4116 time	Nth failure 👙	Expected times to failures

- Trend tests
- Model rankings
- Visualization
 - Cumulative failure plot
 - Time between failure plot
 - Failure intensity plot
 - Reliability growth plot
- Predictions
 - Time to achieve reliability
 - Expected number of faults for next t time units
 - Expected time to next k failures

Conclusions and Future Research

- Open source application to promote collaboration among
- Members of software reliability research community
- Users from industry and government organizations •
- Application architecture enables integration of models from research literature
- Future research will expand architecture to enable models for other stages of **SDLC**







Make Detailed Predictions From Model Results Choose one or more sets of model results to display.					Model	Time to achieve R = 0.9 for mission of length 4116	÷	Expected # of failures for next 4116 time units		Nth failure	Expected failures	I times to next 1		
Delayed S-Shape Geometric Goel-Okumoto Jelinski-Mora	nda Weibu	at			All	All		All	All		All			
How much time will be required to observe the next N failures				1			J							
Specify the number of failures that are to be observed.			<u>A</u>	2	Delayed S-Shape	12401.1541529981		0.246856262199799		1	NA			
How many failures will be observed over the next N time units'	>			3										
Specify the amount of additional time for which the software will run.				4	Goel-Okumoto	62829.7672027733		0.903615409906593			4591.2846	6949961		
4116			۲	5										
How much more test time to achieve a specified reliability?				6	Jelinski-Moranda	59915.2917457156		0.85612548252314		1	4869.8065	0205625		
Specify the desired reliability.			A	7										
Specify the length of the interval for which reliability will be computed				8	Weibull	259865.770847692		1.72595369956707		1	2353.0525	4648438		
4116			▼	9										
Save detailed model results as PDF or CSV?				10	0 Geometric	1592716.45936287		1.87747308675807		f	2170.0308	8926781		
CSV PDF				Sho	owing 1 to 10 of 10 entries						P	revious 1 Ne		
Save Model Predictions														
		Model	$\frac{\Lambda}{\nabla}$			AIC 🔺					PSSE ≑			
		All		All			1	All						
Tab 4: Model	2	Geometric				1937.03417425106				84.32708123	346017			
accorrect	5	Weibull				1938.16066975807				74.94495624	150499			
assessment	Jelinski-Moranda	nda 1950.53413167956						19.6003726	94455					
based on AIC	3	Goel-Okumoto				1953.61306630984				23.0712869	3.0712869112105			
and PSSE	1	Delayed S-Shape	2075.14631533222				296.349252292955							
	Showing	g 1 to 5 of 5 entries							Previ	ous 1	Next			



through the SERC, a DoD University Affiliated Research Center under Research Task 139 : Software Reliability Modeling and (ii) the National Science Foundation (NSF) (#1526128).

Contacts/References

Tool and relevant resources are available at

http://sasdlc.org/lab/

Contact:

Dr. Lance Fiondella

Assistant Professor, University of Massachusetts Dartmouth Email: lfiondella@umassd.edu

SERC Sponsor Research Review, November 17, 2016